

TWO MOD-P JOHNSON FILTRATIONS

JAMES COOPER

ABSTRACT. We consider two mod- p central series of the free group given by Stallings and Zassenhaus. Applying these series to definitions of Dennis Johnson's filtration of the mapping class group we obtain two mod- p Johnson filtrations. Further, we adapt the definition of the Johnson homomorphisms to obtain mod- p Johnson homomorphisms.

We calculate the image of the first of these homomorphisms. We give generators for the kernels of these homomorphisms as well. We restrict the range of our mod- p Johnson homomorphisms using work of Morita. We finally prove the announced result of Perron that a rational homology 3-sphere may be given as a Heegaard splitting with gluing map coming from certain members of our mod- p Johnson filtrations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The mapping class group $Mod_{g,1}$ of an orientable surface of genus g with 1 boundary component $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is the quotient $Homeo^+(\Sigma_{g,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g,1})/Homeo_0^+(\Sigma_{g,1})$. That is, the group of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ fixing the boundary $\partial\Sigma_{g,1}$ modulo isotopy relative to $\partial\Sigma_{g,1}$. In the 1980s Johnson introduced the Johnson filtration of the mapping class group and the Johnson homomorphisms at each level of the filtration. We introduce mod- p analogues of Johnson's filtration and homomorphisms. We prove results about these mod- p versions that are analogous to several of Johnson's foundational results. For a survey of Johnson's work see [11], for instance. We also adapt certain results of Morita and Pitsch regarding the Johnson filtration to our mod- p versions.

1.1. Central series. A mapping class class $f \in Mod_{g,1}$ induces a group homomorphism f_* on $\Gamma = \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$. Having a single boundary component allows us to choose our base point to be on the boundary, which is fixed by f , making f_* well-defined. If $N \triangleleft \Gamma$ is a normal subgroup such that the mapping class f leaves N invariant (i.e., $f_*(N) \leq N$) then f_* descends to a homomorphism on the quotient Γ/N . Johnson studies such induced maps on quotients by terms of the lower central series of Γ . Letting $[-, -]$ denote the commutator bracket we may define the lower central series $\{G_k\}$ of a group G .

Definition 1.1. The lower central series $\{G_k\}$ of a group G is defined recursively by $G_1 = G$ and $G_k = [G, G_{k-1}]$.

We consider two other series. Fix an odd prime p . The first series $\{\Gamma_k^{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ is defined by Hans Zassenhaus in terms of the lower central series $\{\Gamma_k\}$ of Γ .

Definition 1.2 (Zassenhaus, [23]). The Zassenhaus mod- p central series $\{\Gamma_k^Z\}$ of a group Γ is defined by $\Gamma_k^Z = \prod_{ip^j \geq k} (\Gamma_i)^{p^j}$.

The Zassenhaus mod- p central series is the fastest descending series such that:

- $[\Gamma_k^Z, \Gamma_l^Z] < \Gamma_{k+l}^Z$
- $(\Gamma_k^Z)^p < \Gamma_{pk}^Z$

The second series $\{\Gamma_k^S\}$ is defined by John Stallings recursively, much like the lower central series.

Definition 1.3 (Stallings, [16]). The Stallings mod- p central series $\{\Gamma_k^S\}$ of a group Γ is defined by $\Gamma_1^S = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_k^S = [\Gamma, \Gamma_{k-1}^S](\Gamma_{k-1}^S)^p$.

The Stallings mod- p central series is the fastest descending central series such that:

- $[\Gamma_k^S, \Gamma_l^S] < \Gamma_{k+l}^S$
- $(\Gamma_k^S)^p < \Gamma_{k+1}^S$

Note that whereas the successive quotients $\mathcal{L}_k$ of the lower central series are free abelian groups, the successive quotients of our series are $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector spaces. In this way each of these series can be interpreted as a type of mod- p lower central series. We discuss these series more in Section 2

1.2. Johnson filtrations. Each subgroup Γ_k in the lower central series of Γ is a characteristic subgroup (i.e., every $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ satisfies $f(\Gamma_k) < \Gamma_k$). We therefore have that each mapping class f induces an automorphism of the quotient $\mathcal{N}_k = \Gamma/\Gamma_{k+1}$ for each k . Johnson filters $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ by considering for which k the map f_k is trivial.

Definition 1.4. The Johnson filtration is the filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k)\}$ of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ where:

$$\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k) = \{f \in \text{Mod}_{g,1} \mid f(x) \equiv x \pmod{\Gamma_{k+1}} \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma\}.$$

In the same way that Johnson uses the lower central series to define the filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k)\}$ we use our two mod- p central series to define two filtrations $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)\}$ and $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k)\}$ of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$.

Definition 1.5. The Zassenhaus mod- p Johnson filtration is the filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)\}$ of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ where:

$$\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k) = \{f \in \text{Mod}_{g,1} \mid f(x) \equiv x \pmod{\Gamma_{k+1}^Z} \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma\}.$$

Definition 1.6. The Stallings mod- p Johnson filtration is the filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k)\}$ of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ where:

$$\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k) = \{f \in \text{Mod}_{g,1} \mid f(x) \equiv x \pmod{\Gamma_{k+1}^S} \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma\}.$$

The first member of the Johnson filtration $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(1)$ is the Torelli group $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$, which is the subgroup of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ that acts trivially on $H = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{Z})$. We note one property in particular: $\mathcal{I}_{g,1} < \text{Mod}_{g,1}$ is of infinite index.

The first term of each of the mod- p filtrations is the level- p congruence subgroup $Mod_{g,1}[p] = \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(1) = \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(1)$, which is the subgroup of $Mod_{g,1}$ consisting of mapping classes that act trivially on $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$. Unlike $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$, the subgroup $Mod_{g,1}[p]$ is finite index in $Mod_{g,1}$.

1.3. Johnson homomorphisms. The Johnson filtration of $Mod_{g,1}$ is a filtration by subgroups consisting of mapping classes that act trivially on the quotients $\mathcal{N}_k$. Equivalently, $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k)$ if and only if $f(x)x^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+1}$ for all $x \in \Gamma$. Let $[x]$ denote the homology class of x in H . Let $\mathcal{L}_k = \Gamma_k/\Gamma_{k+1}$. For fixed $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k)$ Johnson proved that the map

$$\begin{aligned} H &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{k+1} \\ [x] &\longmapsto f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2} \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined homomorphism.

Definition 1.7. The Johnson homomorphisms $\tau_k : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k) \longrightarrow Hom(H, \mathcal{L}_{k+1})$ are defined for $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k)$ and $x \in \Gamma$ by:

$$\tau_k(f)([x]) = f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}$$

Johnson shows these are well-defined homomorphisms. For $* = S$ or Z let $\mathcal{L}_k^*$ denote $\Gamma_k^*/\Gamma_{k+1}^*$. Our mod- p Johnson homomorphisms are defined as follows:

Definition 1.8. The Zassenhaus mod- p Johnson homomorphisms $\tau_k^Z : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k) \longrightarrow Hom(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z)$ are defined for $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)$ and $x \in \Gamma$ by:

$$\tau_k^Z(f)([x]) = f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^Z,$$

where $[x]$ denotes the class of x in $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$.

Definition 1.9. The Stallings mod- p Johnson homomorphisms $\tau_k^S : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k) \longrightarrow Hom(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S)$ are defined for $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k)$ and $x \in \Gamma$ by:

$$\tau_k^S(f)([x]) = f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^S,$$

where $[x]$ denotes the class of x in $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$.

We show that these are well-defined homomorphisms in Section 3.

Johnson calculates the image of the first homomorphism τ_1 . By definition this image is contained in $Hom(H, \mathcal{L}_2)$. This is isomorphic to $H \otimes (\bigwedge^2 H)$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_2 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 H$ that takes the coset of $[x, y] \in \Gamma_2$ to $[x] \wedge [y]$ and by virtue of H being isomorphic to its dual. Further, $H \otimes (\bigwedge^2 H)$ contains $\bigwedge^3 H$ as a subgroup via the inclusion:

$$x \wedge y \wedge z \mapsto x \otimes (y \wedge z) + y \otimes (z \wedge x) + z \otimes (x \wedge y)$$

Johnson shows that τ_1 surjects onto this subgroup.

Theorem 1.10 (Johnson, [10]). $\tau_1(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) \cong \bigwedge^3 H$ for $g \geq 2$.

We calculate the images of our first mod- p homomorphisms τ_1^Z and τ_1^S , proving the following theorems in Section 5.

Theorem 1.11. $image(\tau_1^Z) \cong \bigwedge^3 H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$ for $g \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.12. $\text{image}(\tau_1^S) \cong \bigwedge^3 H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ for $g \geq 2$.

The abelianization of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$ in our case of p being an odd prime is known due to independent work of Perron in [18], Putman in [21], and Sato in [15].

Theorem 1.13 (Perron, [18]; Putman, [21]; Sato, [15]). *For p an odd prime and $g \geq 3$, $H_1(\text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]) \cong \bigwedge^3 H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.*

Theorem 1.12 shows that τ_1^S induces the abelianization of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$.

1.4. Morita's restriction. Morita restricts the target of Johnson's homomorphisms τ_k in the following way:

Theorem 1.14 (Morita, [14]). $\text{image}(\tau_k) < H_3(\mathcal{N}_k)$

Morita's argument easily generalizes to obtain a similar restriction for our mod- p Johnson homomorphisms. In particular, we have:

Theorem 1.15. $\text{image}(\tau_k^*) < H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p)$ for $* = S$ or Z .

In Section 6 we describe how $H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p)$ lies in $\text{Hom}(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^*)$.

1.5. Generating $\ker(\tau_1^*)$. Johnson gives a nice characterization of the kernel of the first Johnson homomorphism, often denoted $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$. Let $\gamma \subset \Sigma_{g,1}$ be a simple closed curve such that its complement in $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is disconnected. Such a curve is called a separating curve. It is easy to see by a direct calculation that if γ is a separating curve then the Dehn twist T_γ about γ is a member of $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$. We call such mapping classes separating twists. Johnson shows that these are enough to generate $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$.

Theorem 1.16 (Johnson, [12]). *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{K}_{g,1} = \ker(\tau_1)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ generated by Dehn twists about separating curves.*

In Section 7, we describe generating sets for the kernels $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}^Z$ and $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}^S$ of τ_1^Z and τ_1^S respectively. The generating sets contain certain subsets of three families of maps. The first family is the collection of separating twists. The second family of maps is populated by bounding pair maps, which are elements of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. These are maps $T_a T_b^{-1}$, where a and b are a pair of disjoint, homologous simple closed curves in $\Sigma_{g,1}$. The third and final family of maps consists of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists T_c^p about simple closed curves c .

Theorem 1.17. *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}^Z = \ker(\tau_1^Z)$ is generated by Dehn twists about separating curves and p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists.*

We note that Boggi and Pikaart provide an earlier, algebo-geometric proof of the above theorem (see Corollary 3.11 in [4]).

Theorem 1.18. *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}^S = \ker(\tau_1^S)$ is generated by Dehn twists about separating curves, p^{th} -powers of bounding pair maps, and p^2 -powers of Dehn twists.*

1.6. Perron's mod- p Johnson filtration. In his research announcement [18], Perron also defined a mod- p version of Johnson's filtration of the mapping class group. His definition makes use of a mod- p Magnus representation and conditions on evaluations of certain higher order Fox derivative terms. We show in Section 4 that this filtration coincides with the Zassenhaus filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)\}$ as we define it. This makes use of a characterization of the Zassenhaus series and filtration in terms of Fox calculus, which we also review in Section 4.

1.7. Rational homology spheres. We end with Section 8, giving a proof of an announced result of Bernard Perron:

Theorem 1.19. *If M is a rational homology 3-sphere and p is a prime relatively prime to $|H_1(M; \mathbf{Z})|$ then M has a Heegaard splitting $U \cup_f V$ of some genus g with gluing map $f \in \text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$.*

This generalizes the statement that integral homology 3-spheres are obtained analogously from mapping classes in $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$, which also follows from our proof. To our knowledge this fills a gap in the literature. While this statement is generally known, we do not know a published proof of it.

Pitsch shows that in fact all integral homology 3-spheres can be obtained from the term $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(3)$ of the Johnson filtration [19]. With this restriction we offer a similar restriction in the rational homology 3-sphere case.

Theorem 1.20. *If M is a rational homology 3-sphere and p is a prime relatively prime to $|H_1(M; \mathbf{Z})|$ then M has a Heegaard splitting $U \cup_f V$ of some genus g with gluing map f a product of terms in $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(3)$ and p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists. In particular, $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(3)$ if $p \geq 5$.*

1.8. Outline. In Section 2 we introduce and discuss the Stallings and Zassenhaus central series. Section 3 contains the definitions of our mod- p Johnson filtrations and homomorphisms. We show that Perron's mod- p filtration is equal to the Zassenhaus filtration in Section 4. In Section 5 we calculate the images of τ_1^S and τ_1^Z . Section 6 discusses how Morita's image restriction for the classical Johnson homomorphisms offers a restriction for our mod- p Johnson homomorphisms. Generating sets for $\ker(\tau_1^S)$ and $\ker(\tau_1^Z)$ are obtained in Section 7. We end the paper with Section 8, where we show that all rational homology 3-spheres may be obtained as a Heegaard splitting with gluing map in $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(3)$ for an appropriate odd prime p .

1.9. Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my doctoral advisor Andrew Putman for introducing me to the work of Dennis Johnson and for his guidance throughout the development of this project. I would also like to thank Corey Bregman and David Cohen for several conversations that helped in the writing and exposition of this paper.

2. FILTRATIONS OF A FREE GROUP

Throughout this paper we will let Γ denote $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$, the fundamental group of an orientable surface of genus g with 1 boundary component. We take the basepoint to be on this boundary component. This group is isomorphic to the

free group with $2g$ generators. When an explicit generating set is needed it will be written $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2g}\}$. We will use $[g, h] = ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$ as our notation for the commutator of $g, h \in \Gamma$. If G_1, G_2 are two subgroups of Γ then $[G_1, G_2] < \Gamma$ is the subgroup generated by commutators of elements in G_1 with elements in G_2 .

We recall the definitions of each series and offer another characterization. This characterization is in terms of their behavior under commutator and p^{th} -power operations.

Definition 2.1. The lower central series $\{\Gamma_k\}$ for Γ is defined recursively by $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_k = [\Gamma, \Gamma_{k-1}]$. The lower central series is the fastest descending series with respect to the property:

- $[\Gamma_k, \Gamma_l] < \Gamma_{k+l}$.

Definition 2.2 (Zassenhaus, [23]). The Zassenhaus mod- p central series $\{\Gamma_k^Z\}$ for Γ is defined by $\Gamma_k^Z = \prod_{ip^j \geq k} \Gamma_i^{p^j}$. This series is fastest descending series with respect to the properties:

- $[\Gamma_k^Z, \Gamma_l^Z] < \Gamma_{k+l}^Z$
- $(\Gamma_k^Z)^p < \Gamma_{pk}^Z$

Definition 2.3 (Stallings, [16]). The Stallings mod- p central series $\{\Gamma_k^S\}$ for Γ is defined recursively by $\Gamma_1^S = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_k^S = [\Gamma, \Gamma_{k-1}^S](\Gamma_{k-1}^S)^p$. This series is the fastest descending series with respect to the properties:

- $[\Gamma_k^S, \Gamma_l^S] < \Gamma_{k+l}^S$
- $(\Gamma_k^S)^p < \Gamma_{k+1}^S$

First note that these filtrations are distinct. They differ in their behavior under taking p^{th} -powers of elements. In particular, we have the following differences for $w \in \Gamma$ and $w \notin \Gamma_2$:

- $w^p \in \Gamma$ and $w^p \notin \Gamma_2$ since the image of w^p in $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_2$ is $p[w]$, which is nontrivial when w is nontrivial.
- $w^p \in \Gamma_2^S$ and $w^p \notin \Gamma_3^S$. This follows from the fact that w^p has trivial image in $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$ and nontrivial image in $\mathcal{L}_2^S$. This is seen to be the case in Section 5.
- $w^p \in \Gamma_p^Z$ and $w^p \notin \Gamma_{p+1}^Z$. This can be checked using a calculation similar to those given in Section 4.

Our two mod- p central series share their behavior under taking commutators. Namely, they enjoy the properties:

- $[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k-1}^Z] < \Gamma_k^Z$
- $[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k-1}^S] < \Gamma_k^S$

We therefore have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.4. *The Stallings and Zassenhaus mod- p central series are indeed central, hence normal, filtrations of the group Γ .*

We also note that $(\Gamma_{k-1}^S)^p \in \Gamma_k^S$ and $(\Gamma_{k-1}^Z)^p \in \Gamma_k^Z$. This together with the previous proposition gives a nice property of the quotients $\mathcal{L}_k^S = \Gamma_k^S / \Gamma_{k+1}^S$ and $\mathcal{L}_k^Z = \Gamma_k^Z / \Gamma_{k+1}^Z$. Namely, since the quotients are abelian and every element has order p we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *The quotients $\mathcal{L}_k^S$ and $\mathcal{L}_k^Z$ are $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector spaces.*

While it is true that the two mod- p central series are distinct, we do have the following relation. We say two series $\{G_i\}$ and $\{H_i\}$ are cofinal if for every natural number n there exists a natural number m such that $G_m < H_n$, and similarly for every l there is some k such that $H_k < G_l$.

Proposition 2.6. *The Stallings and Zassenhaus mod- p central series are cofinal.*

Proof. For the first inclusion we note that $\Gamma_n^S < \Gamma_n^Z$. To see this we use the fact $\Gamma_2^S = \Gamma_2^Z$ as a base case for an induction on n . We may write an element $w \in \Gamma_n^S$ as a product $\prod [x_i, y_i] \prod z_i^p$ with $x_i \in \Gamma$ and $y_i, z_i \in \Gamma_{n-1}^S$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\Gamma_{n-1}^S < \Gamma_{n-1}^Z$. Noting the properties of the Zassenhaus series, we see for each i that $[x_i, y_i] \in \Gamma_n^Z$ and $z_i^p \in \Gamma_{p(n-1)}^Z < \Gamma_n^Z$. We conclude that $w \in \Gamma_n^Z$.

For the second inclusion we show for fixed l that $\Gamma_{p^l}^Z < \Gamma_l^S$. Let $w \in \Gamma_{p^l}^Z$ and write $w = \prod y_k^{p^{j_k}}$ where each $y_k \in \Gamma_{i_k}$ with $i_k p^{j_k} \geq p^l$. The behavior of the Stallings series with respect to commutators and p^{th} -powers lets us see that each term $y_k^{p^{j_k}}$ lies in $\Gamma_{i_k+j_k}^S$. To see that $w \in \Gamma_l^S$ it therefore suffices to show that $i_k + j_k \geq l$ for all k .

We first note that $i_k \geq p^{l-j_k}$ so that $i_k + j_k \geq p^{l-j_k} + j_k$. A straight forward calculation shows that $p^{l-j_k} + j_k$ is minimized as a function of j_k at $j_k = l - \log_p(1/\ln(p))$, where it achieves the minimum value:

$$\frac{1 - \ln(1/\ln(p))}{\ln(p)} + l$$

We wish to show that this is always at least l . Equivalently, we want $\ln(1/\ln(p)) \leq 1$. This follows from the fact that $\ln(1/\ln(p)) = -\ln(\ln(p)) < 0$. $\square$

3. JOHNSON STYLE FILTRATIONS AND HOMOMORPHISMS

Now that we have our two series of Γ we may consider the filtrations they give of the mapping class group of $\Sigma_{g,1}$, which we write $Mod_{g,1}$. We will denote these filtrations by $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k)\}$ and $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)\}$ for Stallings and Zassenhaus respectively. First we recall our notation for commonly appearing quotients. Let $\mathcal{N}_k^* = \Gamma / \Gamma_{k+1}^*$ and $\mathcal{L}_k^* = \Gamma_k^* / \Gamma_{k+1}^*$, where $*$ is S for the Stallings series, Z for the Zassenhaus series, or empty for the lower central series. We now recall the definition of Johnson's original filtration.

Definition 3.1. The Johnson filtration of $Mod_{g,1}$ is given by $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k) = \{f \in Mod_{g,1} \mid f(x)x^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+1} \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma\}$.

Again we note that $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k)$ is just the subgroup of $Mod_{g,1}$ consisting of mapping classes that induce a trivial action on the quotient $\mathcal{N}_k$. We now offer by the appropriate substitution our mod-p filtrations, which are similarly given in terms of mapping classes that act trivially on $\mathcal{N}_k^Z$ and $\mathcal{N}_k^S$.

Definition 3.2. The Zassenhaus mod-p Johnson filtration for $Mod_{g,1}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k) = \{f \in Mod_{g,1} | f(x)x^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+1}^Z \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma\}$.

Definition 3.3. The Stallings mod-p Johnson filtration for $Mod_{g,1}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k) = \{f \in Mod_{g,1} | f(x)x^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+1}^S \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma\}$.

Now we wish to discuss the Johnson homomorphisms associated to each filtration of $Mod_{g,1}$. Again, we begin by recalling Johnson's original construction. For each k we define a map:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_k : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k) &\longrightarrow Hom(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}_{k+1}) \\ f &\mapsto (x \mapsto f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}) \end{aligned}$$

Johnson proved that $\tilde{\tau}_k(f)$ is indeed a homomorphism. We recall the argument below.

The quotients $\mathcal{L}_k$ are abelian so that by the universal property of the abelianization $H = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{Z})$ of Γ each map $\tilde{\tau}_k(f)$ factors through H , allowing us to make the following definition.

Definition 3.4. The k^{th} Johnson homomorphism $\tau_k : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k) \longrightarrow Hom(H, \mathcal{L}_{k+1})$ is the map defined by $\tau_k(f)([x]) = f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}$.

The construction of the mod-p Johnson homomorphisms similarly begins by defining a map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_k^* : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k) &\longrightarrow Hom(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^*) \\ f &\mapsto (x \mapsto f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^*) \end{aligned}$$

Below we show that the image under $\tilde{\tau}_k^*$ of an element $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$ is indeed a homomorphism. Before stating the results needed to prove this we introduce some notation. For $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$ and $x \in \Gamma_l^*$ we write $[f, x] = x^f x^{-1}$ for $f(x)x^{-1}$. The use of this notation is justified since expressions in $[\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k), \Gamma_l^*]$ satisfy commutator identities. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet [f, yx] &= [f, y][f, x]^y = f(y)y^{-1}yf(x)x^{-1}y^{-1} = f(y)f(x)x^{-1}y^{-1} \\ \bullet [fg, x] &= [f, x]^g[g, x] = g(f(x)x^{-1})g(x)x^{-1} = g \circ f(x)x^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

This notation is useful for packaging the statements and proofs of the following results.

Theorem 3.5 (Andreadakis, [1], Theorem 1.1). *The Johnson filtration behaves in the following way with respect to the commutator bracket:*

$$(1) [\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k), \Gamma_l] < \Gamma_{k+l}$$

$$(2) [\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k), \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(l)] < \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k+l).$$

Lemma 3.6 (Hall, [9], Three Subgroup Lemma). *Let A , B , and C be subgroups of a group G . If $N \triangleleft G$ is normal subgroup such that $[A, [B, C]]$ and $[B, [C, A]]$ are contained in N then $[C, [A, B]]$ is also contained in N .*

The third necessary result is an adaptation of Andreadakis's work in [1] to our mod-p case.

Lemma 3.7. *If $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$ and $x \in \Gamma_l^*$ then $f(x)x^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+l}^*$. Equivalently $[\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k), \Gamma_l^*] < \Gamma_{k+l}^*$.*

Proof. We will induct on l . The base case $l = 1$ follows from the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$. We assume the lemma holds for l . For the inductive step we prove the lemma for Stallings and Zassenhaus independently. Beginning with Stallings, we consider elements of the form $[x, y] \in \Gamma_{l+1}^S$ and $z^p \in \Gamma_{l+1}^S$, where $x \in \Gamma$ and $y, z \in \Gamma_l^S$.

We first show that $f([x, y])[x, y]^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S$ for $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k)$. We show this using Andreadakis's argument. First note:

$$f([x, y])[x, y]^{-1} = [f, [x, y]] \in [\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k), [\Gamma, \Gamma_l^S]]$$

In anticipation of using the three subgroup lemma we also note the following two inclusions.

$$\begin{aligned} [[\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k), \Gamma_l^S], \Gamma] &< [\Gamma_{k+l}^S, \Gamma], \text{ by induction} \\ &< \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S, \text{ by definition of the Stallings series} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [[\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k), \Gamma], \Gamma_l^S] &< [\Gamma_{k+1}^S, \Gamma_l^S], \text{ by induction} \\ &< \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S, \text{ by the properties of the Stallings series} \end{aligned}$$

In order to use the three subgroup lemma, the groups $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k)$, Γ_l^S , and Γ must all be subgroups of a common group. The holomorph of Γ serves this purpose. The holomorph of Γ may be defined as $Hol(\Gamma) := \Gamma \rtimes Aut(\Gamma)$ with multiplication given by:

$$(g_1, f_1)(g_2, f_2) := (g_1 f(g_2), f_1 f_2)$$

Note that any normal subgroup of Γ is a normal subgroup of $Hol(\Gamma)$ and that $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k)$ includes into $Hol(\Gamma)$ by the usual inclusion into $Aut(\Gamma)$. Now the three subgroup lemma implies that $[\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k), [\Gamma, \Gamma_l^S]] < \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S$.

By a similar consideration we see that $f(z^p)z^{-p} \in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S$. We show that $f(z^p)z^{-p} \equiv (f(z)z^{-1})^p \pmod{\Gamma_{k+l+1}^S}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(z^p)z^{-p} &= [f, z^p] \\ &= [f, z][f, z]^z \dots [f, z]^{z^{p-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $[f, z] \in \Gamma_{k+l}^S$ by induction, and $[f, z]^{z^i} \in \Gamma_{k+l}^S$ for each $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ by normality. Furthermore, $[[f, z], z^i] \in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S$ so that $[f, z] \equiv [f, z]^{z^i} \pmod{\Gamma_{k+l+1}^S}$ and $f(z^p)z^{-p} \equiv (f(z)z^{-1})^p \pmod{\Gamma_{k+l+1}^S}$.

Now we note that $(f(z)z^{-1})^p \in (\Gamma_{k+l}^S)^p$ by induction, and $(\Gamma_{k+l}^S)^p \in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S$ by the properties of the Stallings series. Therefore, $f(z^p)z^{-p} \in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S$.

The lemma for the case of Stallings then follows by checking the statement for products. Again let $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k)$, and let $w_i \in \Gamma_{l+1}^S$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\prod_{i=1}^n w_i\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^n w_i\right)^{-1} &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(w_i) \prod_{i=1}^n w_{n-i}^{-1} \\ &= f(w_1)w_1^{-1}f(w_2)w_2^{-1}w_2\dots \\ &\quad w_{n-1}^{-1}w_{n-1}f(w_n)w_n^{-1}w_{n-1}^{-1}\dots w_2^{-1}w_1^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

We have seen above that $f(w_i)w_i^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S$ for each i , and by normality we also have $w_{n-1}f(w_n)w_n^{-1}w_{n-1}^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^S$. From this we conclude that

$$f\left(\prod_{i=1}^n w_i\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^n w_i\right)^{-1}$$

is equivalent modulo Γ_{k+l+1}^S to

$$w_1f(w_2)w_2^{-1}w_2\dots w_{n-2}^{-1}w_{n-2}f(w_{n-1})w_{n-1}^{-1}w_{n-2}^{-1}\dots w_2^{-1}w_1^{-1}$$

and by iteration this is trivial modulo Γ_{k+l+1}^S . The statement is then proven for the case of Stallings.

For Zassenhaus we write $t = \prod x_i^{p^j} \in \Gamma_{l+1}^Z$ so that $x_i \in \Gamma_m$ for some m with $mp^j \geq l+1$. By iterative use of the identity $[f, yx] = [f, y][f, x]^y$ we see that $f(t)t^{-1}$ is a product of conjugates of elements of the form $f(x_i^{p^j})x_i^{-p^j}$. Since we only wish to show $f(t)t^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^Z$, the element of conjugation is unimportant by the normality of Γ_{k+l+1}^Z . The same argument we used for the case of Stallings again works here. In particular, we still have that $f(x_i^{p^j})x_i^{-p^j} \equiv (f(x_i)x_i^{-1})^{p^j} \pmod{\Gamma_{k+l+1}^Z}$, and:

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x_i)x_i^{-1})^{p^j} &\in (\Gamma_{k+m}^Z)^{p^j}, \text{ since } [f, x_i] \in [\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k), \Gamma + m] < \Gamma_{k+m} < \Gamma_{k+m}^Z \\ &\in \Gamma_{(k+m)p^j}^Z, \text{ by definition of the Zassenhaus series} \\ &\in \Gamma_{k+l+1}^Z \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

In the above proof, when we checked the statement of Lemma 3.7 on products of elements in Γ_{l+1}^* we also proved the following lemma:

Lemma 3.8. *For fixed $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$ the following map is a homomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^* \\ x &\longmapsto (f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^*)\end{aligned}$$

Now we may define our mod-p Johnson homomorphisms and show they are indeed well-defined homomorphisms. Since the quotients $\mathcal{L}_k^*$ are $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector spaces we have that $\tilde{\tau}_k^*(f)$ factors through $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$. This again allows the following definitions.

Definition 3.9. The k^{th} Zassenhaus mod-p Johnson homomorphism is the map:

$$\tau_k^Z : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z)$$

defined by $\tau_k^Z(f)([x]) = f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^Z$.

Definition 3.10. The k^{th} Stallings mod-p Johnson homomorphism is the map:

$$\tau_k^S : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(k) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S)$$

defined by $\tau_k^S(f)([x]) = f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^S$.

Proposition 3.11. τ_k^* is a homomorphism.

Proof. Let f and g be elements of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$. Let $x \in \Gamma$ be a representative of $[x] \in H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_k^*(f \circ g)([x]) &= f \circ g(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^* \\ &= f(g(x))f(x)^{-1}f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^* \\ &= f(g(x)x^{-1})f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^*\end{aligned}$$

Since $g(x)x^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+1}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$ Lemma 3.7 gives

$$f(g(x)x^{-1}) \equiv g(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_{k+2}^*$$

Therefore, $\tau_k^*(f \circ g)([x]) = \tau_k^*(g)([x])\tau_k^*(f)([x])$. □

Notice that it follows from the definitions of τ_k^* that the kernels of these maps coincide with the subsequent terms in the corresponding mod-p Johnson filtrations. That is:

Proposition 3.12. $\ker(\tau_k^*) = \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k+1)$

Proof. This follows immediately from the definitions. Namely, $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k+1)$ if and only if $f(x)x^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+2}^*$ for every $x \in \Gamma$, which is equivalent to saying $\tau_k^*(f)(x) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^*$. □

4. FOX CALCULUS AND ZASSENHAUS'S WORK

Perron gives a definition for a mod- p Johnson filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^P(k)\}$ in the announcement [18]. His definition makes use of Fox's free differential calculus, which we briefly review below. After establishing the necessary machinery due to Fox and Magnus we show that our filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)\}$ is in fact equal to Perron's filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^P(k)\}$.

4.1. Review of Fox calculus. In a series of papers Fox studies the group of derivations in the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$, where Γ is a free group of rank n . The relevant machinery can be found in [8]. First we note that we have an evaluation map $\epsilon : \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ induced by the trivial group homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow 1$. Recall a derivation is a map $D : \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$ that enjoy the following properties:

- $D(u + v) = D(u) + D(v)$
- $D(uv) = \epsilon(v)D(u) + uD(v)$

The collection of derivations on $\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$ forms a right module over $\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a generating set for Γ . Fox showed that the module of derivations is generated as a right $\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$ -module by the free differentials $\partial/\partial x_j$, which are uniquely determined by the following properties:

- $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{i,j}$
- $\frac{\partial u+v}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}$
- $\frac{\partial uv}{\partial x_j} = \epsilon(v) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}$

We may use these differentials to define a series for Γ that is known to coincide with the lower central series.

Definition 4.1. The series $\{\Gamma'_k\}$ of Γ is defined by the property that $w \in \Gamma'_k$ if and only if for all $l < k$ and all sequences $i_1, \dots, i_l$ with $1 \leq i_j \leq n$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ we have:

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^l w}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_l}} \right) = 0$$

Proposition 4.2 (Fox, [8], 4.5). *The lower central series $\{\Gamma_k\}$ is equal to the series $\{\Gamma'_k\}$.*

4.2. Magnus representations. Magnus gives a filtration for the free group Γ in [13]. In [8] Fox shows that Magnus's series is also equal to the lower central series of Γ . Magnus's series is given in terms of the representation taking his name. The Magnus representation is a map $Mag : \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}\langle\langle w_1, \dots, w_{2g} \rangle\rangle$ from the group ring into the ring of formal power series in the noncommuting variables $\{w_i\}$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}$. It is defined by mapping generators x_i of Γ to the power series $1 + w_i$. Work of Magnus shows that Mag is an injection. In this way a group element $w \in \Gamma$ corresponds to a power series $Mag(w) \in \mathbf{Z}\langle\langle w_1, \dots, w_{2g} \rangle\rangle$, which has a well-defined notion of degree. A filtration $\{\Gamma_k''\}$ of Γ is then given by the requirement that $w \in \Gamma_k$ when $Mag(w) - 1$ is a sum of monomials of degree at

least k . The coefficients of $Mag(w)$ can be given by evaluations of Fox's derivatives making it easy to see the equality of $\{\Gamma_k''\}$ to $\{\Gamma_k'\}$ and therefore $\{\Gamma_k\}$.

Zassenhaus's series originally arises from similar considerations of a Magnus style representation. The representation $Mag_p : \mathbf{F}_p[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p\langle\langle w_1, \dots, w_{2g} \rangle\rangle$ is given by sending $x_i \mapsto 1 + w_i$, extending, and reducing coefficients modulo p . The Zassenhaus series is in this way analogously characterized. In particular, we have the following result of Zassenhaus.

Proposition 4.3 (Zassenhaus, [23]). *For $w \in \Gamma$ we have $w \in \Gamma_k^Z$ if and only if for all $l < k$ and all sequences $i_1, \dots, i_l$ with $1 \leq i_j \leq n$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ we have:*

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^l w}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_l}} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

4.3. Johnson's work via Fox calculus. Using this Fox calculus definition of the lower central series one may give another definition of Johnson's filtration. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2g}\}$ be a basis for Γ so that $\partial/\partial x_i$ are the usual Fox derivatives. For a given mapping class $f \in Mod_{g,1}$ we may define its Fox matrix:

$$B(f) = (f_{ij}) = \left(\frac{\overline{\partial f(x_j)}}{\partial x_i} \right) \in GL_{2g}(\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma])$$

Here $\sum \overline{n_i g_i}$ denotes $\sum n_i g_i^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$ and is necessary so that:

$$B(f \circ g) = B(f)B(g)^f = (f_{ij})(f(g_{ij})).$$

The following lemma is the first step in obtaining a type of Taylor expansion for $B(f)$.

Lemma 4.4 (Fundamental Theorem of Fox Calculus; Fox, [8]). *Let $w \in \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$ then:*

$$w = \epsilon(w) + \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} (x_i - 1)$$

The Fundamental Theorem of Fox Calculus allows us to write a Taylor expansion for $w \in \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$. It is given by the representation into $\mathbf{Z}\langle\langle w_1, \dots, w_{2g} \rangle\rangle$:

$$w \mapsto \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_l \leq 2g} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^l}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_l}} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) w_{j_1} \dots w_{j_l}$$

The Fox matrix then has a Taylor expansion $B(f) \mapsto B_0(f) + B_1(f) + \dots$ given by expanding the entries of $B(f)$. Namely,

$$B_{l-1}(f) = (b_{ik}) = \left(\sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_l \leq 2g} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^l}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_l}} \left(\frac{\overline{\partial f(x_k)}}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) w_{j_1} \dots w_{j_l} \right)$$

Definition 4.5. The Johnson filtration is defined by:

$$\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k) = \{f \in Mod_{g,1} | B_0(f) = Id, B_l(f) = 0, \text{ for all } l = 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}.$$

This definition is known to be equivalent to the usual definition of the Johnson filtration given earlier. See, for instance, [17].

Using this definition of the Johnson filtration, Perron defines a mod- p Johnson filtration in the following way. Let $\omega : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p[G]$ be reduction of coefficients modulo p . Let $\Omega : GL_{2g}(\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]) \rightarrow GL_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p[\Gamma])$ be the map $(b_{ij}) \mapsto (\omega(b_{ij}))$. Define $B^{(p)}(f) = \Omega(B(f)) \in GL_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p[\Gamma])$. Again we have a Taylor type expansion $B^{(p)}(f) \mapsto B_0^{(p)}(f) + B_1^{(p)}(f) + \dots$; the entries of $B_i^{(p)}(f)$ are equal to the entries of $B_i(f)$ with coefficients modulo p .

Definition 4.6 (Perron, [18]). The Perron mod- p Johnson filtration is $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^P(k) = \{f \in \text{Mod}_{g,1} | B_0^{(p)}(f) = Id, B_l^{(p)}(f) = 0, l = 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$.

Theorem 4.7. $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^P(k) = \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)$ for all k .

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^P(k)$ so that $B_0^{(p)}(f) = Id, B_l^{(p)}(f) = 0; l = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. We now show that $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)$. Writing the Taylor expansion for $B(f)$, we know that:

$$\Omega \left(\sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_l \leq 2g} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^l}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_l}} \left(\frac{\partial f(x_k)}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) (x_{j_1} - 1) \dots (x_{j_l} - 1) \right) = 0$$

where w_n are noncommuting variables. In particular, the coefficients are zero modulo p for $l = 1, \dots, k-1$. We wish to show that $f(x)x^{-1}$ has all of its Fox derivatives of order at most $k-1$ evaluate to zero modulo p so that $f(x)x^{-1} \in \Gamma_k^Z$. It suffices to check this on basis elements $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{2g}\}$. We will need the following identity, which follows from the product rule of Fox calculus:

$$\frac{\partial u^{-1}}{\partial x} = -u^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$$

We will also need the higher-order product rule (3.2) from [8]:

$$\frac{\partial^l uv}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_l}} = \sum_{n=1}^l \left(\frac{\partial^{l-n} u}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_n}} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{n-1} v}{\partial x_{j_{n-1}} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right) + u \frac{\partial^l v}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_l}}$$

We now induct on the order of the Fox derivatives, first noting that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(x)x^{-1}}{\partial x_i} &= \epsilon(x^{-1}) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} + f(x) \frac{\partial x^{-1}}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} - f(x)x^{-1} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_i} \end{aligned}$$

This evaluates to:

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon\left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i}\right) - 1, & \text{if } x = x_i \\ \epsilon\left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i}\right), & \text{if } x \neq x_i \end{cases}$$

Since $B_0^{(p)}(f) = Id$ we know that the above is zero in both cases. In particular, we satisfy the base case for our induction.

We now assume the inductive hypothesis and consider the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^l f(x)x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} &= \frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \left(\frac{\partial f(x)x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \left(\epsilon(x^{-1}) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{j_1}} + f(x) \frac{\partial x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Applying the evaluation map and using the higher order product rule (3.2) in [8] we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^l f(x)x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} \right) &= \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right) - \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \left(f(x)x^{-1} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right) \\ &= -\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \left(f(x)x^{-1} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right), \text{ since } f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^P(k) \\ &= -\epsilon \left(\sum_{n=2}^l \left(\frac{\partial^{l-n} f(x)x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_n}} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{n-1} x}{\partial x_{j_{n-1}} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \epsilon \left(f(x)x^{-1} \frac{\partial^l x}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} \right), \text{ by (3.2) in [8]} \\ &= -\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{l-2} f(x)x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds since any higher order derivative of the generator x is trivial. The last term is zero modulo p by the inductive hypothesis. We therefore see that $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^P(k) < \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)$.

We now seek to show the other containment. Let $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k)$ so that the order $l \leq k-2$ partial derivatives of $f(x)x^{-1}$ evaluate to zero modulo p . That is to say the following is zero modulo p :

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^l f(x)x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} \right)$$

We saw above that this is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right) - \epsilon \left(\sum_{n=2}^l \left(\frac{\partial^{l-n} f(x)x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_n}} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{n-1} x}{\partial x_{j_{n-1}} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \epsilon \left(f(x)x^{-1} \frac{\partial^l x}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Considering only the case when x is a generator, for $l \geq 2$ this again simplifies to:

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right) - \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{l-2} f(x) x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right)$$

Our hypothesis on the evaluation modulo p of derivatives of $f(x)x^{-1}$ lets us conclude that

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_2}} \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) \right)$$

is zero modulo p . In particular, $B_l^{(p)} = 0$ for $l = 1, \dots, k-1$, and we have $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(k) < \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^P(k)$ as desired. When $l = 1$ we have have

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^l f(x) x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_1}} \right) = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial f(x) x^{-1}}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right)$$

which we have seen before to be equal to:

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right) - 1, & \text{if } x = x_{j_1} \\ \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_{j_1}} \right), & \text{if } x \neq x_{j_1} \end{cases}$$

As we are under the assumption that this is equal to zero modulo p , we see that $B_0^{(p)} = Id$, and we have proven the theorem. □

5. CALCULATING THE IMAGES

Toward the goal of computing the images of the first Stallings and Zassenhaus mod- p Johnson homomorphisms we first describe a generating set for $Mod_{g,1}[p] = \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(1) = \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(1)$. Let us introduce the notation D_p for the collection of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists. The following lemma was announced in [18] by Perron.


Lemma 5.1. *$Mod_{g,1}[p]$ is generated by $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ and D_p .*

We would like to prove Lemma 5.1 using the general procedure afforded by the following lemma, whose proof is easy and omitted.

Lemma 5.2. *Consider the short exact sequence of groups:*

$$1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\rho} B \longrightarrow 1$$

and generating sets S for A and S_B for B . Let $S'_B \subset G$ denote a set that projects onto S_B . The group G is generated by $S_A \cup S'_B$.

FIGURE 1. Curves involved in the lifts of $M_{i,j}$ and $N_{i,j}$

The short exact sequence we wish to use comes from the following short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{g,1} \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_{g,1} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow 1$$

Let $E_{i,j}$ be the $g \times g$ matrix whose entries are all zero save the (i,j) and (j,i) entries, which are 1. We define the two following symplectic matrices:

$$M_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} Id_g & pE_{i,j} \\ 0 & Id_g \end{pmatrix}$$

$$N_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} Id_g & 0 \\ pE_{i,j} & Id_g \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 5.3. $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p] = \ker(\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p))$, where η is reduction of entries modulo p .

$\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$ is called the level- p congruence subgroup of $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$ and consists of matrices congruent to the identity matrix modulo p .

Theorem 5.4 (Bass, Milnor, Serre, [2]). *$\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$ is normally generated (as a subgroup of $\text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$) by the matrices $M_{i,j}$ and $N_{i,j}$.*

The matrices $M_{i,j}$ and $N_{i,j}$ have lifts to $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ that are products of three p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists in $\text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$. Figure 1 shows the simple closed curves in the following lifts. Consider such a matrix and the usual symplectic basis $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g\}$. The matrix does one of two things to our basis:

- $M_{i,j}$ sends $b_i \mapsto b_i + pa_j$ and $b_j \mapsto b_j + pa_i$ and fixes every other basis element
- $N_{i,j}$ sends $a_i \mapsto a_i + pb_j$ and $a_j \mapsto a_j + pb_i$ and fixes every other basis element

The mapping class $T_{a_i}^{-p} T_{\delta}^p T_{a_j}^{-p}$ projects to $M_{i,j}$. The mapping class $T_{b_i}^{-p} T_{\epsilon}^p T_{b_j}^{-p}$ projects to $N_{i,j}$. This can be checked easily on the basis elements $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g\}$.

In our proof of Lemma 5.1 we will also need to make use of the following relation among Dehn twists. See [7], Fact 3.7, for instance.

Lemma 5.5. *Let T_a be a Dehn twist and f be any other element of $Mod_{g,1}$ then $fT_af^{-1} = T_{f(a)}$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.1. The symplectic representation of the mapping class group gives us the short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{g,1} \longrightarrow Mod_{g,1} \xrightarrow{\Psi} Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow 1$$

If we restrict Ψ to the subgroup $Mod_{g,1}[p] < Mod_{g,1}$ we get the short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{g,1} \longrightarrow Mod_{g,1}[p] \xrightarrow{\Psi} Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p] \longrightarrow 1$$

From the latter exact sequence we may obtain a generating set for $Mod_{g,1}[p]$ by concatenating a generating set for $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ with the lift of a generating set for $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$ to $Mod_{g,1}[p]$ by Lemma 5.2.

$Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$ is normally generated (in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$) by p^{th} -powers of the matrices $M_{i,j}$ and $N_{i,j}$ by Theorem 5.4. Such matrices are the image of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists under Ψ (up to composition with elements of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$). Lemma 5.5 tells us that conjugates of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists are still p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists. We consequently have a generating set for $Mod_{g,1}[p]$ that consists of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ and all p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists, which we denote D_p . $\square$

The following lemma also follows from the above proof.

Lemma 5.6. *An element $f \in Mod_{g,1}[p]$ may be written as $f = f_1 \cdot f_2$ where $f_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ and f_2 is a product of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists.*

Now we may calculate the image of these generators under our homomorphisms τ_1^S and τ_1^Z to understand the images of these homomorphisms. For each quotient $\mathcal{N}_k^*$ we have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{k+1}^* \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_k^* \longrightarrow 1$$

and from this a 5-term exact sequence in homology.

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(\Gamma; \mathbf{F}_p) &\longrightarrow H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow \frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^*}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k+1}^*](\Gamma_{k+1}^*)^p} \\ &\longrightarrow H_1(\Gamma; \mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow H_1(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Usually $H_1(\Gamma_{k+1}^*; \mathbf{F}_p)\mathcal{N}_k^*$ appears instead of $\frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^*}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k+1}^*](\Gamma_{k+1}^*)^p}$ in such a 5-term exact sequence. In [16] Stallings shows that in this case these terms are isomorphic.

5.1. The Case of Stallings. Since Γ is a free group of rank $2g$ we have that $H_2(\Gamma; \mathbf{F}_p)$ is trivial and $H_1(\Gamma; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong H_1(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$. For the case of Stallings $\frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^S}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k+1}^S](\Gamma_{k+1}^S)^p} \cong \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S$, and our 5-term exact sequence reduces to:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S; \mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \longrightarrow 0$$

so that $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \cong H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S; \mathbf{F}_p)$. In particular, we may consider the codomain of τ_1^S to be $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, H_2(\mathcal{N}_1^S; \mathbf{F}_p))$. Since $N_1^S \cong H_1(\Gamma; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ we may better understand $H_2(\mathcal{N}_1^S; \mathbf{F}_p)$ by the split exact sequence given by the universal coefficient theorem:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_2(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p \longrightarrow H_2(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}; \mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(H_1(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}), \mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ is an abelian p -group we know that $H_2(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \cong \bigwedge^2(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$. See Chapter VI in [6], for instance. By the unnatural splitting of the sequence we have that:

$$H_2(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong (H_2(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p) \oplus (\text{Tor}(H_1(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}), \mathbf{F}_p)) \cong \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \right) \oplus \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$$

and we may consider the image of τ_1^S to be contained in $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \oplus \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$.

5.2. The Case of Zassenhaus. For Zassenhaus we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_2^Z \neq \frac{\Gamma_2^Z}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_2^Z](\Gamma_2^Z)^p} = \frac{\Gamma_2^S}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_2^S](\Gamma_2^S)^p} = \mathcal{L}_2^S$$

Rather $\mathcal{L}_2^Z$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{L}_2^S$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_2^Z = \mathcal{L}_2^S / Q$ where $Q = \Gamma_3^Z / \Gamma_3^S$. We show that $Q \cong \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ and that $\mathcal{L}_2^Z$ contains $\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ as a subgroup. We conclude that $\mathcal{L}_2^Z \cong \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$. First recall that $\Gamma_2^S = \Gamma_2^Z = [\Gamma, \Gamma]\Gamma^p$. Next note that for $p \geq 3$ we have $\Gamma_3^S = [\Gamma, [\Gamma, \Gamma]\Gamma^p](\Gamma, [\Gamma]\Gamma^p)^p < \Gamma_3^Z = [\Gamma, [\Gamma, \Gamma]]\Gamma^p$.

Now we show $Q \cong \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$. Let $u \in [\Gamma, [\Gamma, \Gamma]]$ and $v \in \Gamma^p$. Both u and v are elements of Γ_3^Z . Note that the images of u and v commute in the quotient Q since Q is a subgroup of an abelian group. Since $[\Gamma, [\Gamma, \Gamma]] < \Gamma_3^S$ the image of u in Q is trivial, and $Q \cong \Gamma^p / (\Gamma_3^S \cap \Gamma^p)$. Note that both $[\Gamma^p, \Gamma^p]$ and Γ^{p^2} are subgroups of $\Gamma_3^S \cap \Gamma^p$ so that $Q \cong \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$.

It remains to find an injection $j : \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^Z$. Consider the inclusion $i : \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^S$ defined by $(a \wedge b) \mapsto ([a', b'] \bmod \Gamma_3^S)$, where a' and b' are lifts of a and b respectively to Γ . Also consider the surjection $\rho : \mathcal{L}_2^S \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^Z$, which is the quotient map by Q . We define $j : \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^Z$ by $j = \rho \circ i$. Note that $\ker(j) \cong \text{image}(i) \cap \ker(\rho)$, which is trivial since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{image}(i) &= \frac{[\Gamma, \Gamma]}{\Gamma_3^S}, \\ \ker(\rho) &= \frac{\Gamma_3^Z}{\Gamma_3^S}, \end{aligned}$$

and $[\Gamma, \Gamma] \cap \Gamma_3^Z = [\Gamma, [\Gamma, \Gamma]] < \Gamma_3^S$. Therefore, j is injective. We conclude that $\mathcal{L}_2^Z \cong \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ as desired.

In summary we have the following:

- $\text{image}(\tau_1^S) \subset \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \oplus \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$
- $\text{image}(\tau_1^Z) \subset \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$

Now we note that:

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, (\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \oplus \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$$

The first factor contains $\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^n$ as a subgroup by the injection:

$$x \wedge y \wedge z \mapsto x \otimes (y \wedge z) + y \otimes (z \wedge x) + z \otimes (x \wedge y)$$

To understand the second factor we need the following definition. Let Ω be the skew-symmetric matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id_g \\ -Id_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 5.7. $\mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is the additive group of $2g \times 2g$ matrices M with entries in $\mathbf{F}_p$ satisfying $M^T \Omega + \Omega M = 0$.

It is independently a theorem of Perron [17], Putman [22], and Sato [15] that this is the abelianization of $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$ in our case when p is an odd prime and $g \geq 2$. The abelianization map:

$$\text{abel} : Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$$

uses the observation that $X \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$ can be written as $X = Id + pA$ for some matrix A and is defined by:

$$\text{abel}(X) = \text{abel}(Id + pA) = A \bmod p$$

As a consequence of having an explicit abelianization map, one may conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8 (Perron, [17]; Putman, [22]; Sato, [15]). *For $g \geq 3$ and $p \geq 3$ odd, the abelianization $H_1(Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p])$ is isomorphic to $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]/Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p^2]$.*

We must also understand the action of a Dehn twist on homology before proceeding. Let $i(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the algebraic intersection pairing on $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$. For a discussion of the following lemma see, for instance, [7], Proposition 6.3.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $[y] \in H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$ be a primitive element with unbased simple closed curve representative γ . The k^{th} -power of a Dehn twist T_γ^k about γ induces the map on homology*

$$\begin{aligned} [T_\gamma^k] : H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}) &\longrightarrow H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}) \\ [x] &\longmapsto [x] + k \cdot i([x], [\gamma])[y] \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is also needed to understand the image of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $\gamma \subset \Sigma_{g,1}$ be a simple closed curve and $y \in \Gamma$ be a based curve isotopic to γ . Let $x \in \Gamma$ with $|x \cap y| = n$. Then*

$$T_\gamma^p(x)x^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i y^{\pm p} z_i^{-1}$$

for some $z_i \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $p_1, \dots, p_n$ denote the intersection points of x and y . Let p_0 denote the basepoint of Γ . Let a_i denote the subarc of x that goes from p_0 to p_i . Let b_i denote the subarc of y that goes from p_0 to p_i . One can easily see by direct calculation that $T_\gamma^p(x) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i b_i^{-1} y^{\epsilon(p_i)p} b_i a_i^{-1} \right) x$, where $\epsilon(p_i) = \pm 1$ depending on the sign of the intersection between x and y at p_i . $\square$

Theorem 5.11. *$\text{image}(\tau_1^Z) \cong \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ for $g \geq 2$.*

Proof. We begin by considering $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ and $[x] \in H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$, the class of the simple closed curve x . We claim that $\tau_1^Z(f)([x]) = \tau_1(f)([x]) \bmod p$. First observe that $f(x)x^{-1} \in [\Gamma, \Gamma] = \Gamma_2 < \Gamma_2^Z$. This allows the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1^Z(f)([x]) &= f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_3^Z \\ &= f(x)x^{-1} \bmod [\Gamma, [\Gamma, \Gamma]]\Gamma^p, \text{ by definition of } \Gamma_3^Z \\ &= f(x)x^{-1} \bmod \Gamma_3\Gamma^p, \text{ by definition of } \Gamma_3 \\ &= \tau_1(f)([x]) \bmod p \end{aligned}$$

Johnson in [10] proves $\tau_1(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) \cong \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{Z}^{2g}$. It follows that $\tau_1^Z(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) \cong \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$.

Now let us consider the case when $f = T_\gamma^p \in D_p$. Let y be a based curve in the isotopy class of γ as in Lemma 5.10. Since $[\Gamma, \Gamma^p] < \Gamma_3^Z$ we have that $z_i y^{\pm p} z_i^{-1} \equiv y^{\pm p} \bmod \Gamma_3^Z$ for any $z_i \in \Gamma$. This fact and Lemma 5.10 let us conclude that

$$f(x)x^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i y^{\pm p} z_i^{-1} \equiv y^{p \cdot k} \pmod{\Gamma_3^Z}$$

where $n = |x \cap y|$ and k is the sum of the exponents $\pm p$ of y . Next notice that for $p \geq 3$ we have $\Gamma^p < \Gamma_3^Z$, so in fact $f(x)x^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Gamma_3^Z}$. Hence, $\text{image}(\tau_1^Z) = \tau_1^Z(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) \cong \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$. $\square$

Theorem 5.12. *For $g \geq 2$ there is an unnaturally split short exact sequence:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \longrightarrow \text{image}(\tau_1^S) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. First we show that $\tau_1^S(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) \cong \tau_1^Z(\mathcal{I}_{g,1})$. Consider the map:

$$\begin{aligned} j : \tau_1^S(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) &\longrightarrow \tau_1^Z(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) \\ f(x)x^{-1} \pmod{\Gamma_3^S} &\longmapsto f(x)x^{-1} \pmod{\Gamma_3^Z} \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. This map is surjective since it is given by taking the quotient by $Q = \Gamma_3^Z/\Gamma_3^S$. To see that j is injective consider an element of $\ker(j)$. This element is given by some $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ such that $f(x)x^{-1} \pmod{\Gamma_3^S} \in Q$ for all $x \in \Gamma$. We know that $f(x)x^{-1}$ is always in Γ_2 since $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. It must then be the case that $f(x)x^{-1} \pmod{\Gamma_3^S} \in \text{image}(i)$, where again i is the inclusion $\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^S$ defined earlier in this section. We also saw earlier in this section that $\text{image}(i) \cap Q$ is trivial. Therefore j is injective and hence an isomorphism. It follows that $\tau_1^S(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) \cong \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} < \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$.

Now recall that we have the unnaturally split short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathcal{L}_2^S) \xrightarrow{r} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \longrightarrow 1$$

Below we construct an injective composition of maps

$$\mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\hat{\tau}} \frac{\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathcal{L}_2^S)}{\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$$

where $\bar{\tau}$ is induced by the map r in the short exact sequence above. Again $\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} < \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \bigwedge^2 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$ is the image of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ under τ_1^S . This yields the desired short exact sequence of the theorem.

The calculation above that $\tau_1^S(\mathcal{I}_{g,1}) \cong \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ lets us first define the map

$$\hat{\tau}' : \frac{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(1)}{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}} \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathcal{L}_2^S)}{\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}},$$

induced by τ_1^S . Recall that $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(1)/\mathcal{I}_{g,1} \cong Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$. Also recall that $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p^2]$ normally generated by matrices that lift to products of p^2 -powers of Dehn twists in $Mod_{g,1}$. Next note that p^2 -powers of Dehn twists are in $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(2)$ since $\Gamma^{p^2} < \Gamma_3^S$. Our map $\hat{\tau}'$ then induces the map:

$$\hat{\tau} : \frac{Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]}{Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p^2]} \cong \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow \frac{Hom(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathcal{L}_2^S)}{\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}}$$

Before showing that $\bar{r} \circ \hat{\tau}$ is injective we show that $r \circ \tau_1^S = \text{abel} \circ \Psi$ on p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists. Again $\Psi : Mod_{g,1} \longrightarrow Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$ is the usual symplectic representation, and $\text{abel} : Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is the abelianization map.

Consider the case when $f = T_\gamma^p \in D_p$. So that $f \notin \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ we will only consider the case when γ is nonseparating. Let y be a based representative of γ . As in the Zassenhaus calculation, we again have that $f(x)x^{-1} \equiv y^{p \cdot k} \pmod{\Gamma_3^S}$ for some k since Lemma 5.10 still applies, and $[\Gamma, \Gamma^p] < \Gamma_3^S$ so that conjugation is trivial in the quotient $\mathcal{L}_2^S$. However, it is now the case that $\Gamma^p \not< \Gamma_3^S$ when $p \geq 3$, so $f(x)x^{-1}$ is not necessarily trivial modulo Γ_3^S . As in the case for Zassenhaus, we appeal to Lemma 5.10 to write:

$$T_\gamma^p(x)x^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i y^{\pm p} z_i^{-1} \equiv y^{p \cdot k} \pmod{\Gamma_3^S}$$

Where k is again the sum of the exponents $\pm p$ of y . We saw in the proof of Lemma 5.10 that the sign of each exponent is determined by the sign of the corresponding intersection. We conclude that k is the algebraic intersection number of $[x]$ with $[y]$, denoted $i([x], [y])$. Now we have:

$$\tau_1^S(f)([x]) \equiv y^{p \cdot i([x], [y])} \pmod{\Gamma_3^S}$$

and

$$r \circ \tau_1^S(f)([x]) \cong i([x], [y])[y] \pmod{p} \in H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$$

Recall by Lemma 5.9:

$$\Psi(f)([x]) = [x] + p \cdot i([x], [y])[y]$$

It follows that

$$\text{abel} \circ \Psi(f)([x]) = i([x], [y])[y] \pmod{p}$$

Therefore, $\text{abel} \circ \Psi(f) = r \circ \tau_1^S(f)$ when f is a product of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists.

Now that we have seen $r \circ \tau_1^S = \text{abel} \circ \Psi$ on p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists, we may show $\bar{r} \circ \hat{\tau}$ to be injective. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(1) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(1)/\mathcal{I}_{g,1} & \xrightarrow{\text{abel}} & \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p) \\
\tau_1^S \downarrow & & \hat{\tau}' \downarrow & & \hat{\tau} \downarrow \\
\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathcal{L}_2^S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathcal{L}_2^S)/\wedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathcal{L}_2^S)/\wedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \\
r \downarrow & & \bar{\tau} \downarrow & & \bar{\tau} \downarrow \\
\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})
\end{array}$$

We use the outermost square of the above diagram to argue the desired injectivity. Consider $\phi \in \ker(\bar{\tau} \circ \hat{\tau})$. We may find a mapping class $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(1)$ such that $\text{abel} \circ \Psi(f) = \phi$. We have seen that $r \circ \tau_1^S(f) = \phi$ as well. Since the bottom map of the outer square is the identity map, ϕ itself must be trivial. $\square$

We emphasize that the above work is packaged in the commutativity of the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{I}_{g,1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Mod}_{g,1}[p] & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p] & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\tau_1 \bmod p \downarrow & & \tau_1^S \downarrow & & \text{abel} \downarrow & & \\
\wedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} & \longrightarrow & \text{image}(\tau_1^S) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

6. MORITA'S IMAGE RESTRICTION

In [14] Morita describes a subgroup of $\text{Hom}(H, \mathcal{L}_{k+1})$ still containing the image of τ_k . Using a similar argument we find subgroups of $\text{Hom}(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^*)$ filling an analogous role for our mod- p Johnson homomorphisms.

We begin by outlining the work of Morita that generalizes completely. Consider a 2-chain σ of our free group Γ such that $\partial\sigma = -z$, where z represents the boundary in $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Let $f \in \text{Mod}_{g,1}$ and define $\sigma_f = \sigma - f_*(\sigma)$. Since a mapping class f preserves the boundary pointwise, σ_f is a 2-cycle. Furthermore, since $H_2(\Gamma) = 0$ there exists a 3-chain c_f such that $\partial c_f = \sigma_f$. For a 3-cycle c in Γ let $c'(k) = c \bmod \Gamma_{k+1}^*$ be the image of c in the chains of $\mathcal{N}_k^*$. Now suppose $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$, one of the Zassenhaus, Stallings, or usual Johnson filtration terms. In this case $\partial c'_f(k) = \sigma'_f(k) - f(\sigma'_f(k)) = 0$ since f acts trivially on $\mathcal{N}_k^*$ by the definitions of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k)$. Hence, $c'_f(k)$ is a representative of an element of $H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*)$. We may then define a map $\tau_k^{*'}(f) = [c'_f(k)]$ so that $\tau_k^{*'} : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(k) \rightarrow H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*)$. By Theorem 6.2 below $\tau_k^{*'}$ is a well-defined homomorphism.

Consider the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{k+1}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_k^* \longrightarrow 1$$

Associated to such an exact sequence is the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $H_p(\mathcal{N}_k^*; H_q(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^*; R)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^*; R)$, where R is $\mathbf{Z}$ if $*$ is empty and

$\mathbf{F}_p$ otherwise. See [6], Section VII, for instance. Let us denote the differentials $d_{p,q}^r : E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-2,q+r-1}^r$. The following is due to Morita.

Theorem 6.1 (Morita, [14], Theorem 3.1). *The map $\tau'_k : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k) \rightarrow H_3(\mathcal{N}_k)$ is well-defined and satisfies the commutativity condition $\tau_k = d \circ \tau'_k$.*

Morita's proof also works to prove the analogous result for our mod-p cases.

Theorem 6.2. *The map $\tau_k^{*'} : \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(k) \rightarrow H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p)$ is well-defined and satisfies the commutativity condition $\tau_k^* = d \circ \tau_k^{*'}$.*

Note that we have a short exact sequence following from the definition of the third page term $E_{1,1}^3 = \ker(d_{1,1}^2)/\text{image}(d_{3,0}^2)$:

$$1 \longrightarrow E_{3,0}^2 \xrightarrow{d_{3,0}^2} E_{1,1}^2 \xrightarrow{\rho} E_{1,1}^3 \longrightarrow 1$$

This is isomorphic to the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*; R) \xrightarrow{d_{3,0}^2} \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^* \otimes H_1(\mathcal{N}_k^*; R) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{L}_{k+2}^* \longrightarrow 0$$

It follows from exactness that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{image}(\tau_k) &= \text{image}(d_{3,0}^2 \circ \tau'_k) \\ &\subset \text{image}(d_{3,0}^2) \\ &= \ker(\rho) \\ &\cong E_{3,0}^2 \\ &= H_3(\mathcal{N}_k) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the following result of Morita:

Corollary 6.3. $\text{image}(\tau_k) < H_3(\mathcal{N}_k)$.

Morita's proof also works to prove the following corollary to Theorem 6.2 with the one additional observation that $E_{3,0}^2 = H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p)$ in the mod-p cases.

Corollary 6.4. $\text{image}(\tau_k^*) < H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p)$.

We now describe how $H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Hom}(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^*)$ for the two cases of Stallings and Zassenhaus. Since $\mathbf{F}_p$ is a field the spectral sequences in each case converge with $H_2(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^*; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong E_{2,0}^\infty \oplus E_{1,1}^\infty \oplus E_{0,2}^\infty$. Further, since we are dealing with a first quadrant spectral sequence each of these summands are stabilized by the third page. Consequently:

$$H_3(\mathcal{N}_k^*; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \ker(\rho) = \ker \left(\text{Hom}(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^*) \longrightarrow \frac{H_2(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^*; \mathbf{F}_p)}{E_{2,0}^3 \oplus E_{0,2}^3} \right)$$

Morita shows that $E_{2,0}^3$ and $E_{0,2}^3$ are trivial for the usual lower central series. For our series this is not the case. We now aim to describe the term $\frac{H_2(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^S; \mathbf{F}_p)}{E_{2,0}^3 \oplus E_{0,2}^3}$ for both Stallings and Zassenhaus. By definition we have:

- $E_{2,0}^3 = \frac{\ker(d_{2,0}^2)}{\text{image}(d_{4,-1}^2)}$
- $E_{0,2}^3 = \frac{\ker(d_{0,2}^2)}{\text{image}(d_{2,1}^2)}$

6.1. The Case of Stallings. First, we show that the following differential is an isomorphism:

$$d_{2,0}^2 : H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S; \mathbf{F}_p) \rightarrow H_1(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S; \mathbf{F}_p)$$

This lets us conclude that $E_{2,0}^3$ is trivial. We begin by considering the commutative diagram with short exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{k+1}^S & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_k^S \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{k+1}^S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_k^S \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

To each short exact sequence above is associated a long exact sequence in homology. Each long exact sequence reduces as in Section 5 to yield the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_2(\Gamma; \mathbf{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S; \mathbf{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ H_2(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^S; \mathbf{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S; \mathbf{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We note that $H_2(\Gamma; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong 0$ since Γ is free. Hence g is an isomorphism. By commutativity we conclude that $d_{2,0}^2$ is also an isomorphism.

Now we want to understand the differential:

$$d_{2,1}^2 : H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \rightarrow H_2(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S; \mathbf{F}_p).$$

Note that:

$$H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \cong H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S.$$

We saw in the previous section that $H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S$, and by the universal coefficient theorem $H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^S) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p \oplus \text{Tor}(H_1(\mathcal{N}_k^S), \mathbf{F}_p)$. Hence,

$$d_{2,1}^2 : \frac{\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S}{\text{Tor}(H_1(\mathcal{N}_k^S), \mathbf{F}_p)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \rightarrow H_2(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S; \mathbf{F}_p).$$

By the universal coefficient theorem:

$$H_2(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong H_2(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p \oplus \text{Tor}(\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S, \mathbf{F}_p),$$

and by virtue of $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S$ being a $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector space we finally we have that our differential is of the form:

$$d_{2,1}^2 : \frac{\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S}{\text{Tor}(H_1(\mathcal{N}_k^S), \mathbf{F}_p)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \oplus \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S$$

6.2. The Case of Zassenhaus. For Zassenhaus we still have that:

$$H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^Z; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \frac{\Gamma_k^Z}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_k^Z](\Gamma_k^Z)^p}.$$

And again, $H_2(\mathcal{L}_k^Z; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{L}_k^Z \oplus \mathcal{L}_k^Z$ by the universal coefficient theorem since $\mathcal{L}_k^Z$ is also a $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector space. Hence, we may consider the map:

$$d_{2,1}^2 : \frac{\Gamma_k^Z}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_k^Z](\Gamma_k^Z)^p} \otimes \mathcal{L}_k^Z \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{L}_k^Z \oplus \mathcal{L}_k^Z$$

However, we do not have that $d = d_{2,0}^2$ is an injection in the Zassenhaus case. This is because we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_2(\Gamma; \mathbf{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^Z; \mathbf{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{g} & \frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^Z}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k+1}^Z](\Gamma_{k+1}^Z)^p} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ H_2(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^Z; \mathbf{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^Z; \mathbf{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

If d were injective it would also be an isomorphism by the exactness of the bottom row. Commutativity would then imply since g is also an isomorphism that $\frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^Z}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k+1}^Z](\Gamma_{k+1}^Z)^p} \cong \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z$.

6.3. Collecting the Results. We therefore have the following statements concerning $H_3(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^*; \mathbf{F}_p)$ as subgroups of the range of our mod-p Johnson homomorphisms:

- $H_3(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^S; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \ker \left(\text{Hom}(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{L}_{k+2}^S}{E_S} \right)$
- $H_3(\mathcal{N}_{k+1}^Z; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \ker \left(\text{Hom}(H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p), \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z) \longrightarrow \frac{\frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^Z}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k+1}^Z](\Gamma_{k+1}^Z)^p}}{E_Z} \right)$

where

$$E_S = \frac{\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \oplus \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S}{\text{image} \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S}{\text{Tor}(H_1(\mathcal{N}_k^S), \mathbf{F}_p)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \oplus \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^S \right)}$$

and

$$E_Z = \ker(H_2(\mathcal{N}_k^Z; \mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z) \\ \oplus \frac{\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z \oplus \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z}{\text{image} \left(\frac{\Gamma_{k+1}^Z}{[\Gamma, \Gamma_{k+1}^Z](\Gamma_{k+1}^Z)^p} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z \oplus \mathcal{L}_{k+1}^Z \right)}$$

7. GENERATING THE KERNELS

In this section we describe generating sets for the kernels of τ_1^* . We begin by giving a general procedure for obtaining a generating set for the kernel of a surjection.

Lemma 7.1. *Consider the short exact sequence of groups:*

$$1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\rho} B \longrightarrow 1$$

and a presentation $\langle S_G | R_G \rangle$ for G . Let $\langle S_B | R_B \rangle$ be a presentation for B with $S_B = \rho(S_G)$. Write each $r \in R_B$ as a word $\prod_i \rho(s_i)$ in S_B . For each r define $w_r = \prod_i s_i$ in S_G . The group A is normally generated by $R'_B = \{w_r | r \in R_B\}$.

Proof. By exactness of our sequence we know that $A = \ker(\rho)$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $\rho(g) = 1$. Write g as a word $w_g \in \langle S_G \rangle$. Then $\rho(w_g)$ is a word w in $\langle S_B \rangle$. Since $g \in \ker(\rho)$ we necessarily have that $w \in \langle \langle R_B \rangle \rangle$. We may therefore lift w to obtain a word $w' \in \langle \langle \rho^{-1}(R_B) \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle R'_B \rangle \rangle$. So we have found a word w' that is a product of conjugates of R' elements and such that $w' = g$. We may therefore generate A by taking a ρ -lift of each element of R_B and taking the normal closure of the subgroup of G generated by this collection of elements. $\square$

Recall that Lemma 5.1 gives us a generating set for $\text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$. In order to get nice generating sets for $\ker(\tau_1^*)$ we refine this generating set for $\text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$. We do this by considering a generating set for $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$, rather than taking it in its entirety. Let $f_{a,b}$ denote the mapping class $T_a T_b^{-1}$, where a and b are disjoint and homologous simple closed curves. We call $f_{a,b}$ a bounding pair map. Recall a mapping class T_γ is a separating twist when γ is a separating simple closed curve in $\Sigma_{g,1}$.

Theorem 7.2 (Powell, [20]). *$\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ is generated by separating twists and bounding pair maps.*

Also recall that $\ker(\tau_1)$ is generated by separating twists, which we denote S' . We may generate $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ by the set S'' containing S' and a collection of bounding pair maps whose image under τ_1 generates $\text{image}(\tau_1) \cong \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{Z}^{2g}$. Johnson provides the following lemma as a corollary to [12], Theorem 4A.

Lemma 7.3 (Johnson, [12]). *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}/\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ is generated by $\binom{2g}{3}$ bounding pair maps.*

So by the above lemma we may choose S'' to contain S' and only $\binom{2g}{3}$ bounding pair maps. We may then obtain a generating set S for $\text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$ that contains S'' and the set of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists, which we denote by D_p . We have calculated the image of S under τ_1^* in Section 5, and so we may use the general argument outlined by Lemma 7.1 to obtain a normal generating set for the subgroups $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(2) < \text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$. In particular, we apply the procedure to the following short exact sequence for $*$ = S or Z :

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(2) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_{g,1}[p] \longrightarrow \text{image}(\tau_1^*) \longrightarrow 1$$

The presentation for $\text{image}(\tau_1^*)$ uses the generating set $\tau_1(S)$ with some relators R . We must determine these relators and choose a lift of each to a word in S . In so doing we obtain a normal generating set for $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^*(2)$. The normal generating sets are actually honest generating sets. In order to see this recall Lemma 5.5, which states that conjugates of Dehn twists in $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ are Dehn twists.

Theorem 7.4. *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(2) = \ker(\tau_1^Z)$ is generated by the group $\mathcal{K} = \ker(\tau_1)$, which is generated by separating twists, and D_p , which is generated by p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists.*

Proof. We have the short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \ker(\tau_1^Z) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{g,1}[p] \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 (\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}) \rightarrow 1$$

Let S be the generating set for $\text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$ discussed above. That is, S contains separating twists, p^{th} powers of Dehn twists, and $\binom{2g}{3}$ bounding pair maps. We may obtain a group presentation for $\bigwedge^3 (\mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$ with generating set $\tau_1^Z(S)$ and relations given below. The relators in this presentation lift to words in $S \subset \text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$, which give a normal generating set for $\ker(\tau_1^Z)$ by Lemma 7.1.

We now list the relations in our presentation for $\bigwedge^3 (\mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$. We have seen that $\tau_1^Z(D_p) = 0$ so take each generator of the form $\tau_1^Z(T_i^p)$ to be a relator. Recall $\tau_1^*|_{\mathcal{I}_{g,1}} = \tau_1 \bmod p$. The image of the separating twists are trivial since $\tau_1^Z(\mathcal{K}_{g,1}) = \tau_1(\mathcal{K}_{g,1}) \bmod p = 0$. So take each generator of the form $\tau_1^Z(T_c)$, where c is separating, to be a relator.

Now we need only consider the relations among the images of the bounding pair maps $f_{a,b} = T_a T_b^{-1}$ in S . Since $\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b}) = \tau_1(f_{a,b}) \bmod p$, Lemma 7.3 tells us that the collection of $\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b})$ is a generating set for $\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ with $\binom{2g}{3}$ elements.

Because $\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \cong \mathbf{F}_p^{\binom{2g}{3}}$ we have the following relations among generators of the form $\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b})$:

- $[\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b}), \tau_1^Z(f_{d,e})]$
- $\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b})^p$

Our complete list of relators in our presentation for $\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ with generating set $\tau_1^Z(S)$ is as follows:

- $\tau_1^Z(T_\gamma^p)$
- $\tau_1^Z(T_c)$, where c is separating
- $[\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b}), \tau_1^Z(f_{d,e})]$
- $\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b})^p$

The relators $\tau_1^Z(T_\gamma^p)$ lift to p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists. The relators $\tau_1^Z(T_c)$ lift to separating twists. The relators $[\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b}), \tau_1^Z(f_{d,e})]$ lift to elements of $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$, which is generated by separating twists. The relators $\tau_1^Z(f_{a,b})^p$ lift to p^{th} -powers of the bounding pair maps $f_{a,b}$. Note that $(T_a T_b^{-1})^p = T_a^p T_b^{-p}$, which is a product of p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists, since a and b are disjoint so that T_a and T_b commute. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(2)$ is normally generated by separating twists and p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists.

We note that the identity of Lemma 5.5 $f T_c^p f^{-1} = T_{f(c)}^p$ shows $\langle D_p \rangle$ to be normal in $Mod_{g,1}[p]$. Similarly a conjugate of a separating twist is a separating twist. It follows that in fact $\ker(\tau_1^Z) = \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(2)$ is generated by separating twists and p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists. $\square$

Theorem 7.5. *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(2) = \ker(\tau_1^S)$ is generated by separating twists, p^{th} -powers of bounding pair maps, and p^2 -powers of Dehn twists.*

Proof. This proof is similar to the previous one for the Zassenhaus case except that we no longer have $D_p < \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(2)$. Again we take S as defined above to be our generating set for $Mod_{g,1}[p]$ and $\tau_1^S(S)$ to be the generating set for a presentation of $image(\tau_1^S) \cong \bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$. For the same reasons as in the case of Zassenhaus the generators $\tau_1^S(T_c)$ are relators when c is separating. Again the images of the bounding pair maps $f_{a,b}$ generate the $\bigwedge^3 \mathbf{F}_p^{2g}$ summand of $image(\tau_1^S)$, and we have the following relations among them:

- $[\tau_1^S(f_{a,b}), \tau_1^S(f_{d,e})]$
- $\tau_1^S(f_{a,b})^p$

We now need to consider the relations among generators of the form $\tau_1^S(T_\gamma^p) \in \tau_1^S(D_p)$. We are interested in the case when $f \in D_p$ has trivial image in $\mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Recall $\Psi : Mod_{g,1}[p] \rightarrow Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$ denotes the symplectic representation. Recall that $abel$ denotes the abelianization map of $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$. Finally, recall $r \circ \tau_1^S(f) = abel \circ \Psi(f)$, where $r : Hom(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathcal{L}_2^S) \rightarrow Hom(\mathbf{F}_p^{2g}, \mathbf{F}_p^{2g})$. If $f \in \ker(\Psi)$ then $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. In this case, relations among $\tau_1^S(f)$ are already described above. It then suffices to consider the case when $f \in D_p$ and not an element of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. If

$\tau_1^S(f) = 0$ for such an f then $\Psi(f) \in \ker(\text{abel})$. By Lemma 5.8 this implies that $\Psi(f) \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p^2]$. Hence, $\Psi(f)$ has a lift to $Mod_{g,1}[p]$ that is in $\langle D_{p^2} \rangle$. Any two lifts of $\Psi(f)$ differ by multiplication with elements of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1} = \ker(\Psi)$.

We may then take the following set of relations in the generating set $\tau_1^S(S)$ for our presentation of $\text{image}(\tau_1^S)$:

- $\tau_1^S(T_c)$, where c is separating
- $[\tau_1^S(f_{a,b}), \tau_1^S(f_{d,e})]$
- $\tau_1^S(f_{a,b})^p$
- $\tau_1^S(T_\gamma^p)$
- $[\tau_1^S(f_{a,b}), \tau_1^S(T_\gamma^p)]$
- Relations in $\tau_1^S(T_\gamma^p)$ sufficient to present the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ summand of the image of τ_1^S

The first relators lift to separating twists. The second lift to elements of $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$, which in turn is generated by separating twists. The third lift to p^{th} -powers of Dehn twists. The fourth lift to p^2 -powers of Dehn twists. The fifth relations in the list lift to p^{th} -powers of bounding pair maps.

Now we consider the final relations. Recall $\mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p) \cong Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]/Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p^2]$. Our generating set for $\mathfrak{sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ consists of elements of the form $\tau_1^S(T_\gamma^p)$. There are two types of relations: the relations necessary to present $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p]$ and the relations necessary to generate $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})[p^2]$. Relations of the second kind lift by τ_1^S to words in D_{p^2} . For the sake of this proof we need not know the exact words since we have already taken all of D_{p^2} to be in our generating set for $\ker(\tau_1^S)$. Relations of the first kind lift by τ_1^S to a word u in D_p that is also an element of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. Such an element u satisfies $\tau_1^Z(u) = 0$ since $\tau_1^Z(D_p) = 0$, and $\tau_1^Z(u) = \tau_1(u) \bmod p$ since $u \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. Therefore, either $u \in \ker(\tau_1)$, in which case it can be written as a product of separating twists, or u is a product of p^{th} -powers of bounding pair maps.

Hence, $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^S(2)$ is normally generated by separating twists, p^{th} -powers of bounding pair maps, and p^2 -powers of Dehn twists. Again Lemma 5.5 shows this to actually be an honest generating set. $\square$

8. RATIONAL HOMOLOGY SPHERES

Let $i : \Sigma_g \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^3$ be a genus g Heegaard surface in $\mathbf{S}^3$. We may obtain a 3-manifold by cutting $\mathbf{S}^3$ along $i(\Sigma_g)$ and regluing the resulting handlebodies V and W along their boundaries by an element $f \in Mod_{g,1}$. Here we think of the boundary component of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ as an embedded disk fixed by f . In this way we may think of f as a mapping class of Σ_g as well. This is done explicitly in the following way. We identify V and W with the standard handlebody H_g via diffeomorphisms f_V and f_W . Let ϕ be an orientation reversing diffeomorphism of H_g . Let $\overline{H}_g$ denote $\phi(H_g)$. We define our 3-manifold by $M_f = H_g \cup_{\phi \circ f} \overline{H}_g$. The gluing is done on the boundaries of our handlebodies by gluing $f_V(x) \in \partial V$ to $f_W \circ \phi \circ f(x) \in \partial \overline{W}$. We call $f \in Mod_{g,1}$ the Heegaard gluing map. We note that if f is an element of

$Mod_{g,1}[p]$ then M_f is a rational homology 3-sphere (QHS). If f is an element of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ then M_f is an integral homology 3-sphere (ZHS).

Associated to a Heegaard splitting are two Lagrangian subspaces of $H_1(i(\Sigma_g))$, which are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} L_V &= \ker(H_1(\partial V) \longrightarrow H_1(V)) \\ L_W &= \ker(H_1(\partial W) \longrightarrow H_1(W)) \end{aligned}$$

Here the maps are induced by the inclusions of the boundaries into the handlebodies. Note that $\partial H_g = \Sigma_g$ so that $H_1(\partial V) \cong H_1(\Sigma_g) \cong H_1(\partial W)$ by the diffeomorphisms f_V and f_W .

We say that two gluing maps f and f' are equivalent if $f' = h_1 \circ f \circ h_2$ for some $h_i \in Mod_{g,1}$ such that h_1 extends to a diffeomorphism $\bar{h}_1$ of the handlebody V and h_2 extends to a diffeomorphism $\bar{h}_2$ of W . This means there is a diffeomorphism $F : M_f \longrightarrow M_{f'}$ that restricts to a diffeomorphism on each of the handlebodies. Namely, $F|_V = \bar{h}_1$ and $F|_W = \bar{h}_2$. By work of Birman we have a nice characterization of mapping classes h that extend over handlebodies.

Theorem 8.1 (Birman, [3]). *Let $h \in Mod_g$, and suppose h extends over the handlebody V . Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ be a symplectic basis for $H_1(\Sigma_g)$ with representatives of a_i bounding disks in V and b_i bounding disks in W . Then the image of h in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$ under the symplectic representation Ψ is a matrix with $g \times g$ block form:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

If instead, h extends over W then $\Psi(h)$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

That is, $\Psi(h)$ preserves one of the Lagrangian subspaces L_V or L_W , respectively.

Consider the case when $f \in Mod_{g,1}[p]$. We have from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence afforded by this Heegaard splitting of M that:

$$H_1(M) \cong \frac{H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})}{\langle f(L_V), L_W \rangle}$$

Since M is a QHS we know that $|H_1(M)| = n < \infty$. We note that since M is connected, the universal coefficient theorem gives us that $H_1(M; \mathbf{F}_p) = H_1(M) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p$. In particular, if p is a prime such that $(p, n) = 1$ then $H_1(M; \mathbf{F}_p) = 0$. Hence, $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \langle L_W, f(L_V) \rangle \otimes \mathbf{F}_p$.

Theorem 8.2. *Let M be a rational homology 3-sphere. Let $n = |H_1(M)|$. Then for any prime p relatively prime to n there is a mapping class $f \in Mod_{g,1}[p]$ for some g such that $M = V \cup_f W$ is a Heegaard splitting for M .*

Proof. Pick a symplectic basis $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g\}$ for $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$ such that $\{a_i\}$ is also a basis for L_V and $\{b_i\}$ is a basis for L_W as in Theorem 8.1. We know there is some Heegaard gluing map f giving the QHS M . Let p be as in the hypothesis, and consider the matrix $\Psi(f) \bmod p = \Psi_p(f) \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Since f is invertible f^{-1} is defined and we may write $\Psi_p(f^{-1})$ as the $g \times g$ block matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $H_1(M; \mathbf{F}_p) = 0$ we have that:

$$\text{span}\{a_i, b_i\} = \text{span}\{f(a_i), b_i\} = \text{span}\{a_i, f^{-1}(b_i)\}.$$

In particular, the map f_b^{-1} on $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)/\text{span}\{a_i\}$ must have full rank. It follows that the block H is invertible. We consider a matrix X of the form:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} Id_g & B' \\ 0 & Id_g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E + B'G & F + B'H \\ G & H \end{pmatrix}$$

We want to choose B' such that X lifts to a mapping class that extends over one of our handlebodies. This is equivalent to either $F + B'H$ or G being zero by Theorem 8.1. G is given so we must choose $B' = -FH^{-1}$ so that $F + B'H = 0$. This choice of B' is defined since as noted H is invertible. Furthermore, since $F^T H = H^T F$ by virtue of $\Psi_p(f^{-1})$ being symplectic we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B'^T &= -(H^{-1})^T F^T \\ &= -H^{-1T} H^T F H^{-1} \\ &= -F H^{-1} \\ &= B' \end{aligned}$$

Hence, X is indeed a symplectic matrix, and we now have that $X\Psi_p(f)$ lifts to some f' equivalent to f acting trivially on $\text{span}\{a_i\}$ in $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$.

To complete the proof let $Y = (X\Psi(f))^{-1}$. Since $X\Psi(f)$ has its lower left block zero, so does Y (else $Id_g = 0_g$). In particular, Y extends over the other of our handlebodies. Now $X\Psi(f)Y$ lifts to a mapping class that is equivalent to f and acts trivially on all of $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbf{F}_p)$ as it is the identity matrix modulo p . $\square$

The case $p = 0$ gives a proof of the following corollary. The result was of course previously known, but to our knowledge a full proof has not yet been available in the literature.

Corollary 8.3. *If M is an integral homology sphere then we may find an element $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ for some g such that $M = V \cup_f W$ is a Heegaard splitting.*

The above says that the Torelli groups $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ suffice to provide the necessary gluing maps to obtain any ZHS. Pitsch argues in [19] that in fact the $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(3)$ term of the Johnson filtration suffices to construct all ZHSs. This allows us to offer a similar statement in the case of QHSs.

Theorem 8.4. *Let M be a rational homology 3-sphere. Let $n = |H_1(M)|$. Then for any prime p relatively prime to n there is a mapping class $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(3) \cdot D_p$ for some g such that $M = V \cup_f W$ is a Heegaard splitting for M . In particular, for prime $p \geq 5$ it follows that $f \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(3)$.*

Proof. We are guaranteed that M is as a Heegaard gluing by some $f \in \text{Mod}_{g,1}[p]$. We may write $f = f_1 \circ f_2$ with $f_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ and $f_2 \in D_p$. By Pitsch's result f_1 is equivalent to some $f'_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(3)$. That is $f_1 = h_1 f'_1 h_2^{-1}$, and so $f = h_1 f'_1 h_2^{-1} f_2$. We rewrite f as $h_1 f'_1 h_2^{-1} f_2 h_2 h_2^{-1}$. Since $f_2 \in D_p$ and D_p is a normal subgroup of $\text{Mod}_{g,1}$ we have that f is equivalent to $f'_1 \circ f'_2$ with $f'_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}(3)$ and $f'_2 \in D_p$. As both $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}(3)$ and D_p are subgroups of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^Z(3)$ for prime $p \geq 5$, we obtain the final statement. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Andreadakis, S. On the automorphisms of free groups and free nilpotent groups. In Proc. London Math. Soc.(3), vol. 15, pp. 239-268. 1965.
- [2] Bass, H., J. Milnor, and J.-P. Serre. Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for SL_n ($n = 3$) and Sp_{2n} ($n = 2$). Publications Mathématiques De L'institut Des Hautes Scientifiques 33, no. 1: 59-137.
- [3] Birman, Joan S. On the equivalence of Heegaard splittings of closed, orientable 3-manifolds. Knots, groups, and 3-manifolds (Papers dedicated to the memory of R. H. Fox), pp. 137-164. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 84, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1975.
- [4] Boggi, M. and Pikaart, M. Galois covers of moduli of curves. Compositio Mathematica 120: 171-191, 2000.
- [5] Broaddus N., Farb, B., and Putman, A. Irreducible Sp -representations and subgroup distortion in the mapping class group. 2007.
- [6] Brown, Kenneth S. Cohomology of groups. New York, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [7] Farb, B. and Margalit, D. A primer on mapping class groups. Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press, 2012.
- [8] Fox, Ralph H. Free Differential Calculus. I: Derivation in the Free Group Ring. The Annals Of Mathematics no. 3: 547.
- [9] Hall, P. Some sufficient conditions for a group to be nilpotent, Illinois J. of Math. 2 (1958) 787-801.
- [10] Johnson, D. An abelian quotient of the mapping class $\mathcal{I}_g$. Mathematische Annalen 1980, Volume 249, Issue 3, pp 225-242.
- [11] Johnson, D. A survey of the Torelli group, in Low-dimensional topology (San Francisco, Calif., 1981), 165 - 179, Contemp. Math., 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [12] Johnson, D. The structure of the Torelli group II: A characterization of the group generated by twists on bounding curves, Topology 24 (1985), no. 2, 113-126.
- [13] Magnus, W. Beziehungen zwischen Gruppen und Idealen in einem speziellen Ring. Math. Ann. 111 (1935), 259 - 280.
- [14] Morita, S. Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mapping class group of surfaces. Duke Mathematical Journal 70, no. 3 (1993): 699-726.
- [15] Sato, M. The abelianization of the level d mapping class group. Journal of Topology 3, no. 4 (2010): 847-882.
- [16] Stallings, J. Homology and central series of groups. Journal of Algebra 2, no. 2 (1965): 170-181.
- [17] Perron, B. Homomorphic extensions of Johnson homomorphisms via Fox calculus. In Annales de l'institut Fourier, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1073-1106. Chartres: L'Institut, 1950-, 2004.
- [18] Perron, B. Filtration de Johnson et groupe de Torelli modulo p , p premier. Comptes Rendus Mathématique 346, no. 11 (2008): 667-670.
- [19] Pitsch, W. Integral homology 3-spheres and the Johnson filtration. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 360, no. 6 (2008): 2825-2847.

- [20] Powell, J. Two theorems on the mapping class group of a surface, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (3) (1978) 347-350
- [21] Putman, A. The Torelli group and congruence subgroups of the mapping class group. 2012
- [22] Putman, A. The Picard group of the moduli space of curves with level structures. Duke Mathematical Journal 161, no. 4 (2012): 623-674.
- [23] Zassenhaus, H. Ein Verfahren, jeder endlichen p -Gruppe einen Lie-Ring mit der Charakteristik p zuzuordnen. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 200-207. Springer Berlin/Heidelberg, 1939.

RICE UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: `jmc10@rice.edu`

URL: `math.rice.edu/~jmc10`